

GRADES 4 AND 5
EXPANDED SCORING RUBRIC FOR ANALYTIC AND NARRATIVE WRITING

Draft

Construct Measured	Score Point 4	Score Point 3	Score Point 2	Score Point 1	Score Point 0
<p style="text-align: center;">Reading</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Comprehension of Key Ideas and Details</p> <p>*Notes: Type of textual evidence required is grade and prompt specific and included in the scoring guide</p>		<p>The student response provides an accurate analysis of what the text says explicitly and inferentially and references the text explicitly to support the analysis, showing full comprehension of complex ideas expressed in the text(s).</p>	<p>The student response provides a mostly accurate analysis of what the text says explicitly and inferentially and references the text to support the analysis, showing comprehension of ideas expressed in the text(s).</p>	<p>The student response provides a minimally accurate analysis of what the text says and may reference the text showing limited comprehension of ideas expressed in the text(s).</p>	<p>The student response provides an inaccurate analysis or no analysis of the text, showing little to no comprehension of ideas expressed in the text(s).</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Writing</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Written Expression</p> <p>Development of Ideas</p>		<p>The student response addresses the prompt and provides effective and comprehensive development of the topic and/or narrative elements¹ by using clear reasoning, details, and/or description; the development is consistently appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.</p>	<p>The student response addresses the prompt and provides effective development of the topic and/or narrative elements¹ by using reasoning, details, and/or description; the development is largely appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.</p>	<p>The student response addresses the prompt and develops the topic and/or narrative elements¹ minimally by using limited reasoning, details, and/or description; the development is limited in its appropriateness to the task, purpose, and/or audience.</p>	<p>The student response is underdeveloped and therefore inappropriate to the task, purpose, and/or audience.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Writing</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Written Expression</p> <p>Organization</p>		<p>The student response demonstrates effective coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes a strong introduction and conclusion.</p>	<p>The student response demonstrates coherence, clarity, and cohesion², and includes an introduction and conclusion.</p>	<p>The student response demonstrates limited coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion², and may or may not include a clear introduction and/or conclusion.</p>	<p>The student response demonstrates a lack of coherence, clarity and cohesion.²</p>

<p>Writing Written Expression Clarity of Language</p>		<p>The student response uses language well to attend to the norms and conventions of the discipline. The response includes concrete words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, and/or domain-specific vocabulary effectively to clarify ideas.</p>	<p>The student response attends to the norms and conventions of the discipline. The response includes concrete words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, and/or domain-specific vocabulary to clarify ideas.</p>	<p>The student response shows limited awareness of the norms of the discipline. The response includes limited descriptions, sensory details, linking and transitional words, or domain-specific vocabulary to clarify ideas.</p>	<p>The student response shows little to no awareness of the norms of the discipline. The student response lacks the descriptions, sensory details, linking and transitional words, or domain-specific vocabulary needed to clarify ideas.</p>
<p>Writing Knowledge of Language and Conventions</p>	<p>The student response demonstrates command of the conventions of standard English consistent with effectively edited writing. Though there may be a few minor errors in grammar and usage, meaning is clear throughout the response.</p>	<p>The student response demonstrates command of the conventions of standard English consistent with edited writing. There may be a few distracting errors in grammar and usage, but meaning is clear.</p>	<p>The student response demonstrates inconsistent command of the conventions of standard English. There are a few patterns of errors in grammar and usage that may occasionally impede understanding.</p>	<p>The student response demonstrates limited command of the conventions of standard English. There are multiple errors in grammar and usage demonstrating minimal control over language. There are multiple distracting errors in grammar and usage that sometimes impede understanding.</p>	<p>The student response demonstrates little to no command of the conventions of standard English. There are frequent and varied errors in grammar and usage, demonstrating little or no control over language. There are frequent distracting errors in grammar and usage that often impede understanding.</p>

Coded Responses: (All coded responses are scored with a 0 on the rubric)

A=No response

B=Response is unintelligible or undecipherable

C=Response is not written in English

D=Response is too limited to evaluate

Note—additional codes may be added after the tryout or piloting of tasks